



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,006	02/04/2000	Dr. Paddy Jim Baggot	249/127	9604
34313	34313 7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER	
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			1634	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/499,006	BAGGOT, DR. PADDY JIM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ly will, by statute, cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>27 O<i>ctober 2004</i></u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 15-24 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 17 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-16 and 18-24 is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)  accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected	ng the correction is required if the drawing to by the Examiner. Note the attached				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priorit</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copie</li></ul>	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/499,006 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

## FINAL REJECTION

1. This action is in response to the Amendment and Response filed October 27, 2004. Claims 15-16 and 21-23 have been amended, and claims 15-16 and 18-24 are now under consideration. Claims 1 and 17 remain withdrawn. Applicant's amendments and arguments have been thoroughly reviewed, but are not persuasive for the reasons that follow. Any rejections not reiterated in this action have been withdrawn as being obviated by the amendment of the claims. **This action is FINAL.** 

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Election/Restriction

3. Claims 1 and 17 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 13.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. In view of Applicant's arguments, and particularly Applicant's reference to the specific recitation of amniotic fluid at page 17, line 8 of the specification, the rejection of claims 15-16 and 18-24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.

THE FOLLOWING ARE NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION NECESSITATED BY APPLICANT'S AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS.

5. Claims 15-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,006

Art Unit: 1634

Claims 15-16, 18-20 and 24 are indefinite over the recitation of the phrase "identifying....when a profile of metabolites in the amniotic fluid specimen differs from a control profile" in claim 15. It is noted that claim 15 previously requires steps of "compiling a profile of the amniotic fluid specimen" and "comparing the amniotic fluid specimen profile with a control profile." It is not clear from the language of the subsequent "identifying" step whether the recitations of a "profile of metabolites" and a "control profile" in that step refer back to the previously recited profiles, or whether any "profile of metabolites" and "control profile" may be employed in this step. Thus, it is not clear how the "identifying" step relates to the rest of the method steps of the claims. Further, it is not clear whether the language "profile...differs from a control profile" is intended to require, e.g., differences in quantities of metabolites (as is discussed previously in the claim), or whether (as the language of the claim literally suggests) any difference between any "profile of metabolites" and any "control profile" would be encompassed by the claims (such that, e.g., a "profile of metabolites" including metabolites A, B, and C would "differ" from a "control profile" including metabolites A, B, and D [and therefore meet the requirements of the claims] simply by virtue of including a different group of metabolites). Clarification is required.

Claims 16 and 18-19 are indefinite over the recitation of the limitation "the control profile" in each of the claims because claim 15, from which claim 16 depends, now recites multiple control profiles (one in the "comparing" step and one in the "identifying" step). It is not clear which of the control profiles of claim 15 would be considered to

Application/Control Number: 09/499,006

Art Unit: 1634

constitute "the control profile" (or whether this limitation might be applicable to both profiles). Clarification is required.

Claims 21-23 are indefinite over the recitation of the phrase "identifying the presence of Down Syndrome in the fetus from the identified plurality of abnormal quantities of metabolites" in claim 21. It is not clear whether this language indicates that the mere presence of a "plurality of abnormal quantities" is indicative of the presence of Down Syndrome, or whether this language indicates that the presence of Down Syndrome is to be ascertained in some manner using "the identified plurality of abnormal quantities." To the extent that further steps or further analysis of "the identified plurality of abnormal quantities" are/is required to identify the presence of Down Syndrome, further clarification is also required with respect to how the "identified plurality of abnormal quantities" may be used to arrive at an identification of Down Syndrome.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/499,006

Art Unit: 1634

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is 571/272-0744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached at 571/272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571/273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Diana B. Johannsen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

January 19, 2005